STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF JEFFERSON
ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS
PUBLIC HEARING
#603
Area variance to increase the allowed sign surface area.
ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS
PUBLIC HEARING
#604
Use variance to allow a multiunit dwelling in a residential district.
ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS
PUBLIC HEARING
#605
Area variance to increase the maximum unbuilt frontage.
ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS
PUBLIC HEARING
#606
Area variance to reduce the required façade transparency.

-----X

## S T A T E O F N E W Y O R K COUNTY OF JEFFERSON

-----X

ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

PUBLIC HEARING

#607

Area variance to increase the maximum allowed footprint of an accessory structure in a residential district.

-----X

245 Washington Street

Watertown, New York 13601 Wednesday, June 11, 2025

B E F O R E:

Chairperson: Samuel S. Thomas

Board Members: Adam Ruppe

James Corriveau Molly Farrell

Senior Planner: Geoffrey Urda

City Planner: Joseph Albinus

City Attorney: (Not present)

REPORTED BY: Tiffany-Jo Ponce, RPR

Court Reporter

1	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: I'd like to call the
2	zoning board of appeals meeting to order.
3	We'll first begin with attendance.
4	Mr. James Corriveau?
5	MR. CORRIVEAU: Here.
6	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Mrs. Molly Farrell?
7	MS. FARRELL: Here.
8	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Mr. Adam Ruppe?
9	MR. RUPPE: Here.
10	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: I, Samuel Thomas,
11	Chairperson, is present, and we have Geoffrey Urda,
12	senior planner, with the planning department, and
13	Joseph Albinus is also here with the planning
14	department.
15	And first on the agenda, as you we
16	have an area variance to increase the allowed sign
17	surface area: Location, 1283 Arsenal Street;
18	applicant, Serianni Signs.
19	There is a notice of public hearing for
20	our fifth applicant, which I I will
21	You may begin. I think it's we can
22	MR. SERIANNI: Good evening. My name's
23	Paul Serianni. I represent Serianni Signs in

Frankfort, New York, and also Burlington for the

Watertown location that you just mentioned. Back

24

1 again. 2 I believe we did propose some reduction 3 in the square footage on the plan there. 4 know that I did hear them discussing some different 5 aspects of how to view the square footage, and I wasn't sure exactly what the outcome of that was. 6 7 So, yeah, just wondering where we're at with this. How we looking? 8 9 CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Well, first, I 10 guess, we received your revised application --11 excuse me -- from 207 -- 267.5 square feet and 12 reduced it down to 248.5 square feet; correct? 13 MR. SERIANNI: Yes, sir. 14 CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: And the overage was 15 from 33 percent to 24.25 percent; correct? 16 That's --17 MR. SERIANNI: That's correct, sir. 18 CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Are there questions 19 by colleagues? 20 MR. RUPPE: Well, the last time, I was 21 wondering if you could go smaller yet and still be 22 seen from 81. Is this really the best you can do 23 or ... 24 MR. SERIANNI: Well, we believe, again,

it's the fair viewing distance in the -- in the --

1.3

2.5

there is a national impact viewing distance for letters and such.

And, as stated before, if we went with the actual allowance of the square footage compared to the building, it would look very odd. Very odd because it would just be so small compared to this huge, white pallet that's there. Obviously, I think it would look odd and it wouldn't be the impact that they're looking for, as I say, you know, the -- the fair-across-the-board-type thing.

And, you know, the competitiveness with the retailors, they feel it's an honest and fair and modest proposal compared -- you know, we have many examples that they show there that had just as much or more. So we're just trying to -- trying to make it fair across the board.

MR. RUPPE: Thank you.

MS. FARRELL: And Burlington was okay with removing the extra branding?

MR. SERIANNI: Excuse me?

MS. FARRELL: Burlington was okay with removing the extra branding, the --

MR. SERIANNI: Yes, ma'am.

MS. FARRELL: Okay.

MR. CORRIVEAU: I have a question as much

1.3

for the staff as yourself here. It seems the way
the square footage is calculated, it's a little
different than what I've seen in the past here;
wherein, it appears that the individual letters are
boxed out, and that square footage is then summed
up across the totality of the sign opposed to a
single parameter drawn all the way around the
symbology that's in the sign, which is what the
zoning code speaks to that, I presume, lines up
with the sign ordinance, and I don't know how that
came to pass, but ...

MR. SERIANNI: I'm not sure exactly. I know there's been some communications between Burlington and Planning and such, and I -- I wasn't directly involved in that final decision, to be honest with you.

It's appreciated. It's -- it could be odd -- like, they chose this large heart for Burlington and, you know, maybe the L and the dot to the "I" and come up. But if you look at all that space, that's considered negative space, which is important for signage, just as important as the actual signage itself. But there's got to be a good ratio there; otherwise, it could look odd and strange and unprofessional, in my opinion.

1.3

So, yeah, they're unfortunate in regards to choosing that large heart for the "B" because it does take up some height to the letters and such in the logo, so ...

MR. URDA: I'll speak to Mr. Corriveau a little bit more directly.

And this predates your time here (indicating).

Our -- our code enforcement department on a sign like this has made it clear to us that their interpretation of a sign like this is that the negative space, you know, essentially what I'm circling on my cursor between the B, the L, and the I, and the -- over to here, they do not count that negative space toward their calculations (indicating).

The code enforcement supervisor is in charge of administering the sign code, and he has communicated to me, this is how they are enforcing it, and this is how they're doing their calculations. So I don't know how to be any more direct.

CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: What I've -- and I know we cannot comment on the color of the sign, but --

MR. SERIANNI: Mm-hmm.

CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: -- it always appears -- and I remember this since -- in the city, there was some businesses that were in compliance and they were using red lettering. It just -- it's amazing how that choice of color can create what appears to be actually a larger sign that is -- you know --

MR. SERIANNI: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: -- is in compliance.

MR. SERIANNI: Yeah. It's bold. It's bold and vibrant and very eye-catching.

CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Yeah, it is. It comes right at you.

MR. SERIANNI: Yeah. I didn't design the logo. I wish I did. I'd probably be richer today, but ...

But it is a good logo and the colors, so very professional. And I think, like you said last time, so far, so good. They make a good presence. The signs and the property there, I know, are very odd -- oddly placed. The pylon sign, we talked about that as well. I know that's a past thing, but, again, just -- we tried to reduce and -- a little bit. I know they fought the whole amount,

but they did make a reduction in hope that you folks would pass it through this time and move forward with just -- just their presence. They want people to know that they're there and -- from that opposite side, you know, of the -- of Thruway -- or 81, forgive me.

MR. CORRIVEAU: Let me just continue on with that same train of thought. The two signs that are labeled as existing -- and they are existing -- I presume, were permitted at some point. And the ones on the front of the store --

If you can bring that one up, yeah.

MR. ALBINUS: I think that one is ...

MR. CORRIVEAU: Nope.

MS. FARRELL: Keep going.

MR. SERIANNI: There you go.

MR. CORRIVEAU: Scroll down a bit so we can see the dimensions there and all that, yeah.

You know, that calculation there, I believe, is derived by drawing three separate perimeters around "Burlington," for one, "deals," maybe "brands," a separate one, and certainly "wow" is separate, and some together.

That's not what the language in the zoning says. It says one continuous parameter.

1.3

And I hear what you're saying about the code folks can make their own interpretations on how they read things and what they want to do, and I guess that's their prerogative.

And I like the sign. Don't get me wrong.

And I like the sign. Don't get me wrong.

I'm just trying to understand how to apply what's

in the black and white here. And I guess I'll just
shut up on that story.

CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: But you have eliminated "deals, brand" and the word "wow"?

MR. ALBINUS: For the --

AUDIENCE MEMBER: On the backside.

MS. FARRELL: On the backside.

MR. SERIANNI: On the backside. On the backside, yeah. Sorry.

MS. FARRELL: I, for one, appreciate
Burlington's willingness to compromise. I think,
personally, that's a good compromise to get rid of
the extra branding and keep it a little cleaner.

CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Yeah. There's a lot of signage pollution when you're driving down 81 --

MR. SERIANNI: Yeah. You mentioned that to me last time.

CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: -- Watertown Center to Arsenal Street. And, to me, it's not -- it

2.5

doesn't represent what I would say in a -- well,
I'm going to -- I'm going to leave my thoughts to
myself, but it looks -- I mean, it looks terrible
when you're driving and then you have these giant
billboards and --

MR. SERIANNI: Unfortunately -- unfortunately, in today's era --

CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: And it's not just in the City of Watertown. It's every town.

MR. SERIANNI: Yeah. In the today's era, the competitiveness of retail is -- is getting more -- I believe, because of internet sales, they're -- they're trying to -- I think there's a meeting where they're trying to stay open. They're trying to keep retail stores open. It's -- as you know, today, there's a lot of companies that are going out of business. And it's based on the competitiveness, I'm sure, and the cost of doing business and such or lack of traffic to the stores themselves.

CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Mm-hmm.

MR. SERIANNI: So the internet sales take away from those walk-in sales, but I believe they're trying to get people to come in more and, obviously, they need something to entice them to do

1.3

that, and they're trying to do some signage, of course.

So it is tough, you know. It's a fight,

I think, for -- between municipalities and the

people that are out there trying to make a living

and doing everything they can to expose themselves

to the fullest and also keep people employed. If

you think about the employment aspect of it, we

don't know many things, but keeping people

employed, keeping stores open, and to do that, they

need to know that people see them.

Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Yeah. That's quite different from -- I remember 20 years ago --

MR. SERIANNI: Oh, gosh.

CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: -- when all of those plazas were -- people would buy them for space.

You know, at the same time, I would like to comment that you moved from the Town of Watertown into the city, and I believe that's an advantage for the city in a lot of ways, certainly here in these times.

Okay. Further comments, questions from fellow board members?

MR. CORRIVEAU: Not so much a question

for you, but for you guys (indicating).

I'd really like to get something in writing from the codes folks as to how they see this language and the sign ordinance on this issue or any others they have differing interpretations from what the plain language says in English so we can do our job more intelligently going forward.

MR. URDA: I will communicate that request in the morning.

MR. CORRIVEAU: Okay. Thanks.

MR. URDA: You're welcome.

CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Before we close the public hearing, were there any responses from letters that were sent out regarding this variance request?

MR. ALBINUS: There was just a couple calls here and there that -- well, from -- not from this one, but from the previous one where one of the persons from the GetNow Urgent Care, he thought, like, the Exxon was looking for something that was going on his own building, I believe. But once I explained it to him, he was all right.

CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: All right. Thank you.

City's position?

1	MR. ALBINUS: We take no position.
2	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Okay. Thank you.
3	I need a motion to close the public
4	hearing, and then we're going to go on. We have to
5	do the short Part 2 SEQR.
6	MR. CORRIVEAU: I'll make the motion to
7	close the hearing.
8	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: May I have a second
9	on that motion?
10	MS. FARRELL: I'll second it.
11	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: All in favor?
12	MR. CORRIVEAU: Aye.
13	MS. FARRELL: Aye.
14	MR. RUPPE: Aye.
15	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Okay. Very good.
16	Just please answer no and the choices
17	are no or small impact may occur or moderate to
18	large impact may occur. Then if it is large, we
19	would have to justify that.
20	Will the proposed action create a
21	material conflict with the adopted land use plan or
22	zoning regulations? No or moderate?
23	MS. FARRELL: No.
24	MR. CORRIVEAU: No.
25	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Will the proposed

1 action result in the change in the use or intensity of use of land? No. 2 3 MS. FARRELL: No. 4 CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Will the proposed 5 action impair the character or quality of the existing community? 6 7 MS. FARRELL: No. CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: No. 8 9 Will the proposed action have an impact on the environmental characteristics that cause the 10 11 establishment of a critical environmental area, 12 (CEA)? 1.3 MR. CORRIVEAU: No. 14 CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: No. 15 Will the proposed action result in the 16 adverse change in the existing level of traffic or 17 affect existing infrastructure for mass transit, 18 biking, or walkway?

MS. FARRELL: No.

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: No.

MR. CORRIVEAU: No.

CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Will the proposed action cause an increase in the use of energy and it fails to incorporate reasonably available energy conservation or renewable energy opportunities?

1	No.
2	Will the proposed action impact existing,
3	A, public/private water supplies?
4	MR. CORRIVEAU: No.
5	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: No.
6	MS. FARRELL: No.
7	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Public/private
8	wastewater treatment utilities?
9	MS. FARRELL: No.
10	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: No.
11	Will the proposed action impair the
12	character or quality of important historic,
13	archeological, architectural, or aesthetic
14	resources?
15	MS. FARRELL: No.
16	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: No.
17	Will the proposed action result in an
18	adverse change to natural resources? Example,
19	wetlands, waterbodies, groundwater, air quality,
20	flora and fauna?
21	MS. FARRELL: No.
22	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: No.
23	Will the proposed action result in an
24	increase in the potential for erosion, flooding, or

draining -- drainage problems? No.

1	MR. CORRIVEAU: No.
2	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Will the proposed
3	action create a hazardous to environmental
4	resources or human health?
5	MR. CORRIVEAU: No.
6	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: I move that we adopt
7	the resolution finding that the proposed variance
8	will have no significant adverse effects or
9	environmental impacts.
10	MR. RUPPE: Second.
11	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: All in favor?
12	MR. RUPPE: Aye.
13	MS. FARRELL: Aye.
14	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Very good.
15	Now we'll begin with the voting process.
16	The applicant I'll begin and we'll
17	just go around.
18	The applicant has reduced the amount of
19	signage from 267 square feet to 245 248.5 square
20	feet from an overage of 33 percent to 2.25 percent.
21	Although the requested signage of current signage
22	is substantial, I do not feel it will have an
23	adverse impact on the neighborhood, which mostly

consists of businesses, nor are there any

environmental impacts.

24

1.3

I do feel the benefit to the applicant can still be achieved by adhering to the requirements of the zoning law. The applicant of Burlington is the first business in view when entering the Price Chopper plaza, and the double-face sign can be seen when exiting Route 81 and onto Arsenal Street. Therefore, I do view this is a self-created hardship. However, the signage has been reduced.

Most importantly, it does not have, I feel, a negative impact on the neighborhood.

Therefore, I vote yes to the requested variance.

Mr. Ruppe?

MR. RUPPE: I pretty much concur with that. Because it's on the back of the building, it's not creating any additional billboard-type obstructions of view. So I think that limits the impact to the neighborhood. And I agree with everything all just said. I will vote yes, too.

CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Thank you.

Mr. Corriveau?

MR. CORRIVEAU: It's fortuitous that the building sits where it does and has that big wall in the back to put the sign on right adjacent to the exit ramp off 81. And the fact it's

1.3

2.5

illuminated, it's really illuminating the billboard and building there in some ways, and I'm going to vote yes.

CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Ms. Farrell?

MS. FARRELL: I appreciate the fact that Burlington was willing to compromise and take down their branding. I think that makes the sign a lot more tolerable, and I -- I agree with what everybody else said about it being on the back of the building and it's in a good position facing 81. I mean, I don't think it's going to negatively impact the aesthetics of the area, so I also vote yes.

MR. SERIANNI: Thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: So you have four yes votes. Your variance is granted. Congratulations, and Mr. Albinus will communicate with you on --

MR. SERIANNI: Great.

CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: -- where you go with granting that permit.

MR. SERIANNI: Great. Well, thank you so much. I appreciate your time.

I just want to say that you guys have the best maintained building, clean building I've -- I think I've ever been in. It's beautiful in here.

1 I mean that with all my heart. It's well-maintained. 2 3 MR. URDA: I'll pass that on to our 4 buildings and grounds crew. 5 CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: We need some positive comments about our city. 6 MR. SERIANNI: Yeah. This building is 7 beautiful. I mean, everywhere you go, it's 8 9 spotless and it's just well-maintained, so thumbs 10 up. 11 MS. FARRELL: Thank you. 12 MR. SERIANNI: Thank you so much. 13 Everyone have a good night. Drive home safe. 14 CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Now, we have a 15 variance request -- use variance request 16 Number 604, Number 605, Number 606, and that's to 17 remain tabled until July 16th. And I do need to read the notice of 18 19 public hearing for the last one, area variance to 20 increase maximum allowed footprint of an accessory 21 structure in a residential neighborhood; location, 22 181 Cedar Street; applicant, Tia Allen. 23 Notice is hereby given that the Zoning

Board of Appeals of the City of Watertown,

New York, will meet on Wednesday, June 11, 2025, at

24

2.5

2.5

7:00 p.m. in City Council Chambers on the Third Floor of City Hall for the purpose of hearing one variance request.

Variance Request Number 607 is for the property located at 181 Cedar Street, being Parcel Number 7-14-135.210, submitted by Tia Allen for an increase in the allowed square footage of an accessory structure in a residential district.

This hearing may be adjourned if necessary. The meeting is open to the public. Copies of the above request are available for public inspection by contacting the planning department at the phone number given in this notice or by email, which is planning@watertown-ny.gov, dated May 23, 2025, Joseph Albinus, Planner, is the author of that.

If you would please approach the microphone, state your name, your address for the record, and your request.

MS. ALLEN: My name is Tia Allen. I live at 181 Cedar Street, and I'm requesting to build a bigger garage than the 1,200 square foot -- or 900 square feet?

CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Well, 900 square feet is what's allowed --

1	MS. ALLEN: Right. And then mine is
2	1,250.
3	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: which that
4	discrepancy would require that area variance.
5	MS. ALLEN: Yep.
6	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Now, there's a pad
7	extending out from that for patio?
8	MS. ALLEN: Yep. We're just going to add
9	an extra since we would have the trucks there
10	pouring it, we were hoping to add a pad to the
11	back.
12	MR. ALBINUS: The pad only counts toward
13	the lot area coverage, but not the square footage
14	of an accessory structure.
15	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Okay. So that's
16	what I
17	I drove by your structure a few times.
18	It's very lovely, but it would be nice if we could
19	see that plan because, you know, you're driving by
20	and you're so yeah, that's helpful. Okay.
21	MS. ALLEN: The garage right next or
22	right neighboring it, 611, is the same size that
23	mine would be, but mine would be up a little bit
24	more towards my house, but it's the exact same size

25

garage.

1.3

CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Now, that's to house vehicles and maintenance -- lawn maintenance equipment and --

MS. ALLEN: Yep. It'll be two vehicles that can drive in because it will go the same way. The neighboring garage has three doors that -- or three garage doors. Mine would just have one big one in the front, and then we can store the lawn mower and things like that in the back, because we have two pretty big vehicles.

MS. FARRELL: I know in your written description, it talked about taking down two of those spruce trees.

MS. ALLEN: Yeah.

MS. FARRELL: Are you going to add any additional vegetation, just because you have a fair amount of impervious surface between all your driveway and then the garage and the patio. Are you worried about increasing your runoff?

MS. ALLEN: So that line of trees was created for a barrier. I used to not own that piece of land. We've acquired those -- the back two pieces, so those trees are almost a hindrance to enjoying the whole backyard. I think the garage, with that patio piece, will be really nice

to enjoy the beautiful backyard.

2.5

And, yes, my husband has been looking into doing something with more trees. We just planted a bunch of grapevines, and we have new plants out front, but we don't know what vegetation we're going to put there until the garage the finalized.

MR. RUPPE: Yeah. You said you were going to relocate the trees. Do you know where?

MS. ALLEN: We've called a lot of the companies that could possibly do that for us, and they're trying to talk us out of it. They're saying it would be a waste of money, and that the trees wouldn't survive. They're massive trees, and they're beautiful, but it wasn't something that we started.

But we are hoping, if we can pull them out with a tire and not have to use a company, that we can replant them over into that bigger area, but we don't really know.

We also have apple trees that don't really show up on that picture, but they're on that left-hand side.

CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Would you move the trees closer? That must be the western end of the

1	property line.
2	If you can put the cursor
3	MS. ALLEN: Yeah. My idea was to put
4	them in the other side like in the back
5	property. Similar to what my neighbor had done to
6	me, I wanted to move them back over there.
7	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Yeah. I'd caution
8	you on some of these blue spruce trees, because I
9	know they look lovely, but then what happens, after
10	about 20 years, they get canker and they just
11	MS. ALLEN: Really?
12	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Yeah, they do. I
13	have some
14	MS. ALLEN: Yeah. These are 15 years
15	old.
16	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: After about 15 or
17	20 years, they start looking raggedy.
18	MS. ALLEN: Oh.
19	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Just I was
20	reading that, and I was thinking about that,
21	knowing that I've been down that road, and probably
22	like Norway spruces are better choices
23	MS. ALLEN: These are
24	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: into the
25	evergreens.

1	MS. ALLEN: These are supposed to be mini
2	spruces, and they're not.
3	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: No.
4	MS. ALLEN: They're giants.
5	MS. FARRELL: Pine trees generally lose
6	their lower branches as they age. Norway spruces
7	are not native to the area. I would pick a tree
8	that's native to the area.
9	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Oh, they are.
10	They're all over the
11	MS. FARRELL: They're all over the place,
12	but they're not native trees.
13	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Yeah, but they
14	survive better than a blues do not survive.
15	MS. FARRELL: But Tree Watertown might be
16	able to help you pick a tree species, if you're
17	looking for recommendations for a native
18	MS. ALLEN: Who did you ask for that?
19	MS. FARRELL: The people who run Tree
20	Watertown.
21	MS. ALLEN: Okay.
22	MS. FARRELL: I don't know the name of
23	the person who runs Tree Watertown.
24	MR. URDA: Bill Christopherson is the
25	president.

MS. FARRELL: Yeah.
MS. ALLEN: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: I founded the group,
so
Okay. So getting back to your variance
request, how long has now, I noticed in one of
the applications that you had an address that was
filled out. I think it was Dimmick Street.
MS. ALLEN: That's my contractor's house.
MR. RESCH: That's mine.
CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Oh, okay. But
you're residing obviously on Cedar.
MS. ALLEN: Yes. This is our house. He
started the whole process for me. And then when we
had to do this portion of it, I started working on
it and filling out all the paperwork.
CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: How long have you
resided in that home?
MS. ALLEN: It's 14 or 15 years, I've
been there. I have a new son, and my husband has
to travel for work, so we just, like, need a garage
to cover the cars. So we've been working really
hard to save money, and we're ready to bite the
bullet, I guess, on building the structure.

CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Not to throw a

wrench into the works, but we've had these -- I know you have a circular driveway. It's like a -- or semi. You know, are they allowed in the city? Because I see them all over, and we have this front yard ordinance that one cannot park a car in the front yard.

Does that create ...

MR. URDA: Do you want to take a stab at it first and then I'll --

MR. ALBINUS: Off the top of my head, I'm not sure if it is allowed, but if it isn't, it will be grandfathered in.

MR. URDA: I'll jump in.

MR. ALBINUS: Sorry.

MR. URDA: That's okay.

So parking is not allowed in a front yard unless it is in front of a garage. You couldn't just pave a front yard in front of your living room and park a car there. That would be subject to citation.

Regarding the horseshoe driveway and curb cut, the city engineering department has the purview -- because I know this is the second time in this meeting I've referred to another department. The city engineering department has

the purview to award curb cut permits. So that -the two curb cuts are a separate issue from the
parking in the front yard.

If this were -- if this alignment were proposed today, you know, we would note there would be no parking here, unless it was in front of a garage (indicating). But this condition is existing and existed before the adoption of this code.

CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Mm-hmm. Okay. Thank you.

MR. RUPPE: For the City, could you explain why there's a 900 square foot limit on these buildings? What public good was intended by the zoning law?

MR. URDA: Can you repeat that question for us, Mr. Ruppe?

MR. RUPPE: Yeah. What was the intention behind the 900 square foot limit?

MR. URDA: So recalling the zoning rewrite steering committee as best I can, the intent is that an accessory structure is supposed to be subordinate in size and nature to a primary structure on a parcel.

Square footage-wise and footprint-wise,

1	1,000 feet, 1,100 square feet is where some smaller
2	houses started in where you get some smaller
3	footprint cape cods. The intent of 900 is to keep
4	it subordinate in size and nature to a primary
5	structure.
6	MR. RUPPE: Okay. Do we know the
7	square the square footage footprint of the house
8	here?
9	MR. RESCH: Like, 1,498, I believe.
10	Don't hold me to that, but I believe it's 1,498.
11	MR. URDA: 1776. Very patriotic.
12	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Square footage of
13	the house.
14	When you're driving by, that's a
15	large-appearing home. It truly is. It's nice that
16	you built within the city.
17	MS. ALLEN: Yeah. We love it there. We
18	really do. We just need to have a place to put our
19	cars in the winter.
20	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Mr. Corriveau, do
21	you have a question?
22	MR. CORRIVEAU: Yeah, just a couple
23	things. Just curious, is that a shared driveway

MS. ALLEN: Yes. That's my parents'

going to your neighbor's garage?

24

1	house.
2	MR. CORRIVEAU: Okay.
3	MS. FARRELL: I was wondering.
4	MS. ALLEN: This used to be a parking lot
5	where my house is situated, and my dad bought it to
6	keep that right-of-way. And then my cousins bought
7	a piece of property and we moved this it's a
8	modular. We moved it from this side of town over
9	to Cedar Street, and that's how that all happened.
10	So my dad's still able to use that as a
11	drive-through, I guess. And it works well for his
12	grandson because he just sprints down it all the
13	time to get to his grandparents' house, so
14	MR. CORRIVEAU: There was also a little
15	inconsistency with the documentation of the sketch
16	of the site plan.
17	MS. ALLEN: That was the first one I've
18	ever done.
19	MR. CORRIVEAU: Yeah. The garage's
20	dimension is 40 by 30, which would be 1,200 square
21	feet even. Elsewhere, it's 32 leading to 1,280.
22	MS. ALLEN: I guess that yeah. That
23	would have been my mistake.

TIFFANY-JO PONCE Court Reporter

MR. CORRIVEAU: Okay.

MS. ALLEN: 32, I believe, is the size of

24

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. RESCH: Right.

MS. ALLEN: Or the size of a

prefabricated width.

CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Okay. 32 feet would be the width and 40, the length?

MS. ALLEN: Yeah. So I must have messed that up. My apologies.

MR. CORRIVEAU: Can you go back to the aerial view, too?

Nothing to do with your application, but pop's garage there, the ridge line runs parallel to the property line. If you build yours 5 feet off of that property line and have a setback that you intend and put a ridge line the same way, you could have two roofs draining into a relatively narrow space, and I would be cautious of that. Maybe separate it a little more, build your garage a little further from your dad's, if that's the way your roof is going to drain. Just a thought. Thanks.

CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Will there be rain -- like rain gutters on your structure so it will carry the water over the opposite way?

MS. ALLEN: Yeah. And his has that, too.

MS. FARRELL: Yeah. That was what I was going to ask. I was going to follow up and ask if there was going to be gutters, too.

Just out of sheer curiosity, so your lot

Just out of sheer curiosity, so your lot used to be a parking lot. Was there a business there? Like, why was the parking lot there?

MS. ALLEN: Morgia's restaurant was on the corner way back in the 70s, and then that -- and the parking lot to the restaurant is where my house is.

MS. FARRELL: Okay.

MS. ALLEN: Yeah. So that's why it was a parking lot.

And then those -- when my father purchased that piece, he didn't purchase all -- the whole property that I now have, so over the last couple years, we've been buying it from our neighbors, the other two pieces of property, and now it's one big one, which is beautiful and we love it and we're very thankful. Yeah.

MR. CORRIVEAU: I just wanted to comment, you and your dad have a couple of the nicest places on the sand flats that I've ever seen.

MS. ALLEN: Thanks.

MR. CORRIVEAU: Nicest piece of real

1	estate.
2	MS. ALLEN: I grew up there. I love
3	living there now, too.
4	MR. CORRIVEAU: It shows.
5	MS. FARRELL: To be honest, one of the
6	things I like about Watertown is that there's large
7	lots. Like for a city, like, that's a large lot
8	for a house that's within the city limits.
9	MS. ALLEN: Yeah. You don't really feel
10	like you're in the city when you're on my deck in
11	the back.
12	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: There's a lot of new
13	construction on the west on that west end, I've
14	noticed.
15	MS. ALLEN: Really?
16	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Well, I mean, newer
17	homes that have gone up over the past decade
18	MS. ALLEN: Oh, yeah. A couple.
19	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: which is nice to
20	see that. And knowing a few of the people that
21	live there, they want to remain, you know, within
22	that neighborhood.
23	MS. ALLEN: Mm-hmm.
24	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Understood.
25	Okay. Other questions? Does the board

1	feel they're prepared to vote this evening?
2	Before we'll have to do another SEQR is that
3	correct? and it will close that hearing.
4	But beforehand, are City's position?
5	MR. ALBINUS: We take no position.
6	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Okay. Have you
7	received any phone calls?
8	MR. ALBINUS: Nope.
9	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Okay.
10	MR. ALBINUS: No phone calls, no texts.
11	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: No comments?
12	Questions? Okay. Very good.
13	MR. ALBINUS: Nope.
14	MR. RUPPE: Does anybody else want to
15	speak before we close the meeting?
16	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: You're welcome to
17	state comments.
18	MR. RESCH: Hi. I'm Matthew Resch. I'm
19	her general contractor. We're really going to do a
20	really nice job. This is going to look very nice.
21	And trying to keep people in the city is really a
22	priority we're trying to do. I live in the city.
23	I love this city. We're getting ready to buy more
24	properties in the city. But it's going to look

really nice when it's done, and we're going to do a

1	really proper job on it, too.
2	Thank you.
3	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Thank you very much.
4	Any further comments or questions?
5	Okay. I'd like to make a motion to close
6	the public hearing.
7	MS. FARRELL: I'll make the motion.
8	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: I'll second.
9	MR. RUPPE: I'll second.
10	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: All in favor?
11	MS. FARRELL: Aye.
12	MR. RUPPE: Aye.
13	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Okay. Very good.
14	We'll begin the SEQR process.
15	Again, as I said before, please indicate
16	no or if there is a moderate to large impact, we
17	need to agree on that.
18	Okay. Will the proposed action create a
19	material conflict with an adopted land use plan or
20	zoning regulations? No.
21	MS. FARRELL: No.
22	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Will the proposed
23	action result in a change or in the use of
24	intensity I'm sorry or intensity of use of

25

land? No.

1 MR. CORRIVEAU: No. 2 CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Will the proposed 3 action impair the character or quality of the 4 existing community? No. 5 Will the proposed action have an impact on the environmental characteristics that cause the 6 establishment of a critical environmental area 7 (CEA)? No. 8 9 MR. CORRIVEAU: No. 10 CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Will the proposed 11 action result in an adverse change in the existing 12 level of traffic or affect existing infrastructure 13 for mass transit, biking, or walkway? 14 MS. FARRELL: No. 15 CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: No. 16 Will the proposed action cause an 17 increase in the use of energy and it fails to 18 incorporate reasonably available energy, 19 conservation, or renewal energy opportunities? 20 MR. RUPPE: No. 21 CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: No. 22

> CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Will the proposed action impact existing, A, public/private water supplies? No.

MS. FARRELL: No.

23

24

2.5

1	B, public/private wastewater treatment
2	utilities?
3	MS. FARRELL: No.
4	MR. CORRIVEAU: No.
5	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Will the proposed
6	action impair the character or quality of important
7	historic, archeological, architectural, or
8	aesthetic resources?
9	MR. CORRIVEAU: No.
10	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: No.
11	Will the proposed action result in an
12	adverse change to natural resources? Example,
13	wetlands, waterbodies, groundwater, air quality,
14	flora and fauna?
15	MS. FARRELL: No.
16	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: No.
17	Will the proposed action result in
18	increase for the potential of erosion, flooding, or
19	drainage problems?
20	MR. CORRIVEAU: No.
21	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: No. It's my
22	understanding that rain gutters will be installed
23	on this proposed structure.
24	Will the proposed action create a hazard
	ll .

to environmental resources or human health? No.

MR. CORRIVEAU: No.

1.3

CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: I move that we adopt a resolution finding that the proposed variance will have no significant adverse effects or environmental impacts.

May I have --

MR. CORRIVEAU: I'll second.

CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Okay. And all in

MS. FARRELL: Aye.

MR. CORRIVEAU: Aye.

CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Okay. Very good.

Now we'll go to voting.

favor?

The applicant wishes to construct a garage, which will house two cars and maintenance equipment. The total -- the total footage of the structure is 1,200 square feet -- am I correct? -- at which is in excess of 300 square feet of what is allowed in the current zoning ordinance.

It would be difficult for the applicant to achieve the desired benefits by reducing the square footage of the structure. Although the accessory structure is significantly larger than what is allowed in the zoning ordinance, it will not have an adverse impact on the neighborhood or

nearby structures. In fact, the proposed accessory building avoids -- it also -- it avoids the need to build smaller buildings to house maintenance equipment. Therefore, that's why they are allowing this larger piece.

There are no environmental impacts. Rain gutters will be installed on the building to redirect water away from housing next door and into the yard. In fact, the applicant has -- is also thinking about relocating some established trees so that the privacy is maintained.

It also meets all setbacks for area of the yard and for side and -- or side and backyard setbacks.

I do not perceive any problems with this. I vote yes to the requested structure at 181 Cedar Street.

MR. RESCH: Can I add something? Just to clarify, it's 1,280 square foot. It's 32 by 40.

CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Oh, okay.

MR. RESCH: I just want to make that very clear.

CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: You know, I left that blank. I should have put that in there.

Okay. Thank you.

1	Mr. Ruppe?
2	MR. RUPPE: Yeah. I agree with what you
3	said. It is a somewhat substantial number, but it
4	fits inside the sphere of the zoning ordinance and
5	what it's trying to achieve. And it achieves your
6	goal of staying here long term, which I think is
7	good for the neighborhood, so I also vote yes.
8	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Mr. Corriveau?
9	MR. CORRIVEAU: I vote yes.
10	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Mrs. Farrell?
11	MS. FARRELL: I also vote yes.
12	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Well, your variance
13	has been granted; four yes votes. We're a
14	four-member vote presently. We might get to five.
15	And the prepare of your application, Mr. Albinus
16	will assist you in granting the necessary permits
17	and so forth.
18	MS. ALLEN: Great. Awesome. We really
19	appreciate your time.
20	MS. FARRELL: Thank you.
21	MR. RESCH: Thank you so much.
22	MS. ALLEN: Have a good night.
23	MR. ALBINUS: Thanks.

in a couple days?

MR. RESCH: I'll call you or I'll see you

24

1	MR. ALBINUS: Yeah. Or vice versa.
2	MR. RESCH: Okay. Thank you.
3	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Okay. We're going
4	to adjourn the meeting. May I have a motion on
5	that?
6	MS. FARRELL: I'll make the motion.
7	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Oh, my God. I must
8	date myself. I was thinking that was where that
9	old restaurant was located.
10	MS. FARRELL: Oh.
11	MR. URDA: Nobody has seconded the motion
12	to adjourn. It is not adjourned yet.
13	MR. CORRIVEAU: I'll second it.
14	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: So, you know, I was
15	thinking about that. We were just having a
16	conversation about
17	MR. URDA: Guys, you still haven't voted.
18	You have a motion and a second, but no vote
19	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Okay. Let's just
20	vote on that.
21	MR. URDA: to adjourn.
22	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: All in favor?
23	MR. RUPPE: Aye.
24	MR. CORRIVEAU: Aye.
25	MS. FARRELL: Aye.

1	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Okay. We adjourned
2	the meeting.
3	(Proceedings concluded.)
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, TIFFANY-JO K. PONCE, RPR, Senior Court
Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of
New York, do hereby certify:

That the sworn testimony and/or proceedings, a transcript of which is attached, was given before me at the time and place stated therein; that the witness was duly sworn or affirmed to testify to the truth; that the testimony and/or proceedings were stenographically recorded by me and transcribed under my supervision.

That the foregoing transcript contains a full, true, and accurate record of all the testimony and/or proceedings held on June 11, 2025.

That I am in no way related to any party to the matter, nor to any counsel, nor do I have any financial interest in the event of the cause.

WITNESS MY HAND this 8 day of July

TIFFAN -JOK. PONCE, RPR Senior Court Reporter