S T A T E O F N E W Y O R K
COUNTY OF JEFFERSON
------X
ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

PUBLIC HEARING

#608

Use variance to allow a self-storage facility in a commercial district
-----x
ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

PUBLIC HEARING

#609

Use variance to allow an accessory use in a front yard

245 Washington Street Watertown, New York 13601 Wednesday, August 20, 2025

B E F O R E:

Chairperson: Samuel S. Thomas

Board Members: Adam Ruppe

James Corriveau Molly Farrell Lance Evans

Senior Planner: Geoffrey Urda

City Planner: Joseph Albinus

City Attorney: (Not present)

REPORTED BY: Tiffany-Jo Ponce, RPR

Court Reporter

CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: I'd like to call the 1 Watertown, New York, Zoning Board of Appeals 2 3 meeting to order. 4 We'll first start by attendance in 5 alphabetical order. Mr. James Corriveau? 6 MR. CORRIVEAU: Here. 7 CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Mr. Lance Evans? 8 9 MR. EVANS: Here. 10 CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Molly Farrell, 11 absent. 12 Mr. Adam Ruppe? 13 MR. RUPPE: Here. 14 CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: I, Samuel Thomas, 15 Chairperson, is present, along with Geoffrey Urda, 16 Senior Planner, and Mr. Joseph Albinus, Planner. 17 And this is a continuation of two 18 hearings for Case Number 608 and 609: 608 being a 19 use variance to allow for a self-storage facility 20 in a commercial district at 144 Eastern Boulevard; 21 and Number 609 is a use variance to allow an 22 accessory use in a front yard, location, 23 1165 Arsenal Street, the applicant being Randall --

oh, no. We have two names that are the same on --

The applicant's name for 1165 Arsenal

24

1 Street?

1.3

MR. URDA: Oh, we messed that up on the agenda? MasTec Network Solutions is the correct one.

CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Well, first, we'll hear from 144 Eastern Boulevard.

Mr. Soggs, if you would like to ...

MR. SOGGS: Sure. Good evening.

CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: I had a chance to read through that extensive materials, so that was helpful.

MR. SOGGS: Yeah. Well, again -- and I think that my application really stands with just what's been submitted in terms of the documentation.

I guess the only thing I'll add is a quick, little story that I have been trying to start businesses in order to fill this building because I've gotten that desperate relative to the vacancies. So the one thing that I tried to do over the last year is to put a liquor store in. I couldn't attract anybody, so I went and applied for a liquor license and was turned down because the State of New York has determined that Watertown has more than enough liquor stores as it is.

1	So even if I had been even if I wanted
2	to do it, I still can't. And it's kind of the rub
3	and really the nub of that whole analysis is it's
4	not that we are turning down or walking away from
5	opportunities that exist within the zoning law.
6	It's that this zoning law is so restrictive that
7	it's making it impossible to operate that property.
8	I'm happy to answer any questions that
9	you may have, but that's pretty much
10	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: If you could you
11	have 13 available rental spaces; is that correct?
12	MR. SOGGS: Well
13	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: I mean, I was
14	looking at the application. That's what I recall.
15	MR. SOGGS: Again, I don't count it that
16	way. I deal with properties in terms of square
17	footage. The appraiser was doing what he said
18	based on square footage in terms of vacancy. I
19	don't that's not divided up into I think,
20	right now, it's divided up into a total of
21	14 spots, so I don't have 13 vacant. If that's
22	somewhere sneaked into the application, that
23	that's erroneous.
24	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: I think I saw the

CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: I think I saw the percentage of --

1.3

MR. SOGGS: 31 percent vacant is the existing number that's there. And that's actually including, for example, the restaurant supply, which sits on the -- the end cap closest to Eastern Boulevard. They're not even open yet, but we're counting them, but ...

So we're about basically a third empty, and we've been at least a third empty for four, five years. And, you know, some of the sections like where the restaurant supply, Video to Roll was the last tenant, to give you a little historical context for everybody.

CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: A special use permit was granted in 2013. I failed to ask you a question. It wasn't acted upon for a self-storage facility.

MR. SOGGS: I found a tenant -- CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Oh.

MR. SOGGS: -- so it wasn't -- it wasn't necessary. The self-storage is not a choice I'm making because I feel like I have any other choices. This is -- and you can see, in 2013, I looked at this -- this particular use of this property for that for well over a decade and have tried -- you know, we ended up attracting the VA

1.3

back in 2013, so I didn't need to convert stuff to self-storage.

Unfortunately, after the VA's time line went with that vendor, they moved to another contractor who went elsewhere.

CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Mr. Evans, you posed a question -- and, perhaps, we want to include this in our responses -- about maintaining only 32,000 square feet for the self-storage facility.

Mr. Urda, if that -- if -- Oh, go ahead, Mr. Albinus.

MR. ALBINUS: Yes. For that, you're able to grant the minimum relief needed for that, so you can specify that zoning be at 32,500 square feet.

MR. SOGGS: Would it be a problem to think of it in terms of -- and I'm not going to turn down a variance that would have 32,000 square feet. That's what I've asked for. But as someone who likes flexibility and opportunity, leaving the rest of the center and able to do it within the confines of the existing structure, not building new buildings, not putting little metal, you know, huts around within the shopping center structure, that would be -- it would be helpful to me to have that use variance already be approved.

1	But does it expire? I mean
2	MR. ALBINUS: The use variance goes for,
3	like
4	MR. SOGGS: You said for the entire
5	structure.
6	MR. ALBINUS: I believe it would
7	expire
8	MR. URDA: No. Variances run with the
9	land.
10	MR. SOGGS: Okay.
11	MR. URDA: So even if you were to sell,
12	as long as this is our zoning ordinance 10 owners
13	100 years from now, the variance would run with the
14	land.
15	The ZBA is empowered, and is directed by
16	the state, guidance to grant the minimum variance
17	necessary, so it would be the ZBA's purview and the
18	four of you that would determine whether it would
19	be for the 32,000, as Mr. Soggs has requested, or
20	for, you know, the square footage of this entire
21	building, or for some amount in between those
22	amounts. And that's not really our place to advise
23	you on.
24	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: I understand.

Now, just for clarification purposes, if

1	we were to grant the variance for 32,000 square
2	feet and he wanted to utilize the entire building
3	down the road, would that require, then, another
4	use variance?
5	MR. URDA: Yes. It would need another
6	variance to supersede this one.
7	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Okay.
8	MR. URDA: But, like I said, you can
9	determine whatever that appropriate amount of
10	square footage is that you want to grant it for.
11	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Mm-hmm. Well, thank
12	you.
13	Mr. Evans, I'm sure have you comments
14	about that.
15	MR. EVANS: I have a couple of questions.
16	The thirty-two, five, let's go with that one at the
17	moment.
18	MR. SOGGS: Sure.
19	MR. EVANS: What percent of the plaza is
20	that?
21	MR. SOGGS: That's roughly a third.
22	MR. EVANS: That's the 31 percent,
23	based
24	MR. SOGGS: That's yeah. We're a
25	little over 31 percent vacant right now because
	II

1	that 31 percent included Real Deals, which is a
2	MR. EVANS: Yes.
3	MR. SOGGS: was a percentage that just
4	closed.
5	MR. EVANS: Yeah.
6	MR. SOGGS: So the real the actual
7	number today stands closer to 43 percent, because
8	they've closed, than it was at the time.
9	And this plan that I've put forward for
10	the thirty-two, five is for three specific spaces.
11	They're the former VA space. There was a gym right
12	next to the VA space, and then the Real Deal space
13	right next to right adjacent it. So it would be
14	those three those three boxes.
15	MR. EVANS: Okay. I think the gym space
16	was a discount store. I want to say Ames, but I
17	may be wrong.
18	MR. SOGGS: I think it was originally
19	built as an Ames.
20	MR. EVANS: Yeah. That's how old I am.
21	MR. SOGGS: Yeah. By Michael Falcone of
22	Pioneer, if you want to know the
23	MR. EVANS: My other question is: In the
24	packet that you gave us, the appraiser included

your exclusive authorization to

1	lease/sell/exchange.
2	MR. SOGGS: Yes.
3	MR. EVANS: And I noted that that expired
4	back in 2021. Have you been renewing that?
5	MR. SOGGS: That's been renewed that's
6	been renewed annually.
7	MR. EVANS: Because
8	MR. SOGGS: Dominic Pavia is my best
9	friend and my son's godfather.
10	MR. EVANS: Okay.
11	MR. SOGGS: So if the paperwork is
12	shotty, it's
13	MR. EVANS: Well, no. It's not that. I
14	just wanted to make sure that the because you
15	stated you've been continuously marketing
16	MR. SOGGS: Yeah. No, we haven't yet.
17	MR. EVANS: and obviously, if it
18	expired, it wouldn't it wouldn't be continuously
19	marketed anymore. Okay.
20	MR. SOGGS: Yeah. Matter of fact, Pavia
21	still has signs up over there.
22	MR. EVANS: And that is for yeah. It
23	looks like it's for whatever space that you have
24	available that
25	MR. SOGGS: I mean, bring us any bring

1 us anybody that makes sense in that building. MR. EVANS: 2 Okay. 3 CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Thank you. 4 So I saw, then, since 2021, there was an 5 active -- you were active in trying to market the facility. 6 MR. SOGGS: Well, we've been marketing 7 it. The difference was I changed the structure of 8 9 my business, then I no longer had staff. CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Mm-hmm. 10 11 MR. SOGGS: So I started using 12 third-party vendors. Pavia had always done some 13 work for me, so they just got the exclusive right 14 to do all of my -- all of my stuff. 15 CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Makes sense. 16 Other questions by members of the zoning 17 board of appeals? 18 MR. RUPPE: The packet had some 19 comparisons to Arsenal Street plazas, but it didn't 20 include two, which I think are somewhat similar to 21 this, and that's the Piggly Wiggly one on 22 Washington Street, or the Seaway Plaza, Route 11 23 north. 24 Do you know how well you compare to them? 25 MR. SOGGS: Again, I didn't look at

1 occupancy rates in town. That was -- that was 2 something that I just -- that Frank had put 3 together, the appraiser put together. I know that 4 the occupancy rates -- for example, we just had --5 over where Tractor Supply is just lost Big Lots, so you've got another big-box space that's come in. 6 I know that there is at least 7 50,000 square feet vacant in State Street Plaza 8 9 right now. You just -- you see the -- you drive 10 over there, whether it's the old JOANN's --11 MR. EVANS: Stateway Plaza. 12 MR. SOGGS: Stateway. 1.3 MR. EVANS: Yeah. 14 MR. SOGGS: Excuse me. I apologize. 15 Either the JOANN's, you know, that kind of sits off 16 by itself or some of the main inline stuff. 17 It's -- retail's overbuilt right now in most of the

It's -- retail's overbuilt right now in most of the northeast.

MR. EVANS: I think Washington Street plaza is just about full. I think there's that keep --

CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: The mall is -- it just seems very vacant.

MR. EVANS: Yeah.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I would agree with you, Adam, that --

1	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Things have changed.
2	MR. EVANS: probably better a
3	comparison would have been Northland or the one
4	out on
5	MR. ALBINUS: Seaway.
6	MR. EVANS: Seaway Plaza thank you
7	and
8	MR. SOGGS: Okay. Seaway, that has the
9	bowling alley on Route 11 and
10	MR. EVANS: Yeah. Yeah. Grand Union
11	MR. SOGGS: I'm not sure what's in there
12	still. I know the Kinney's was in there. I
13	thought they were going to do a freestanding
14	MR. EVANS: Kinney's is gone.
15	MR. URDA: That's what he's talking
16	about.
17	MR. EVANS: Yeah. Grand Union is in
18	there. I was just there yesterday. There's a
19	Chinese food restaurant in there? Does that sound
20	familiar?
21	MR. SOGGS: Yeah. Again but I think
22	the bowling alley was closed. It's been closed
23	MR. EVANS: No.
24	MR. SOGGS: for an extended period of
25	time.

1	MR. EVANS: It's open now. Well, there
2	were lights last night, at least.
3	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: But then there's
4	also a very large space that sits empty on the east
5	end of that plaza.
6	MR. EVANS: Yeah.
7	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: It was, I believe, a
8	church. They've since moved.
9	MR. EVANS: Oh, they have?
10	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: I go by on the way
11	to work. It's just it's pretty sad.
12	MR. EVANS: It was the Rock Church or
13	something, yeah.
14	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Yeah. It's pretty
15	sad.
16	MR. RUPPE: I think, generally, State
17	Street isn't what it used to be. There's a
18	Walgreens that recently closed out that way, too.
19	MR. EVANS: Mm-hmm.
20	MR. SOGGS: Yeah. You know, Rite Aid's
21	gone that were down that became Dollar Tree.
22	Walgreens is gone. You know, it's Kinney country,
23	so it's okay. They're still here.
24	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: You know, I think,
25	in Gouverneur, they had a Rite Aid in

1	MR. SOGGS: Oh, yeah, yeah. And they
2	called it Kinney.
3	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: And people "I'm
4	not going there," so they kind of shut down and
5	then Kinney's bought the building. But I can be
6	I date myself because I do remember signage
7	variances requested by Walgreens on State Street in
8	2006 and other kinds of things, and now they're all
9	gone. And I think a Rite Aid, we granted a
10	variance there, and that's not there anymore,
11	so
12	MR. EVANS: Yeah, Walgreens just over on
13	Arsenal Street. But you're losing a tenant
14	MR. SOGGS: Yeah. That's
15	MR. EVANS: from the credit union.
16	The credit union bought
17	MR. SOGGS: That's a credit union that's
18	actually in the little freestanding building is the
19	one that bought the
20	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: The Walgreens.
21	MR. SOGGS: the Walgreens and will be
22	moving over there in about a year when they're done
23	realizing how much money it costs to build a back
24	branch. It's going to be
25	MR. RUPPE: The front little building

1 that used to be the Watertown Savings Bank, they 2 moved across the street, too, and it's been empty a 3 long time. 4 MR. SOGGS: Oh, yeah. That -- see, PJ 5 owned that, and then I think he flipped it to the doctor, the eye doctor who's there. He was going 6 7 to put in something for himself and then never did. CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Yeah. 8 They were 9 before zoning, too. 10 City's position, Mr. Urda? Mr. Albinus? 11 MR. ALBINUS: We take no position. 12 CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Okay. Understood. 1.3 Any comments from -- or concerns when you 14 had to -- nothing from the neighborhood? 15 MR. ALBINUS: (Shaking head side to 16 side.) 17 CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Okay. Very good. Okay. Well, I'll begin -- we'll do the 18 19 short form assessment and then we'll close the 20 hearing and then vote. 21 So please answer no or yes for moderate 22 to large impact. Hang on one second, please. 23 Number 1, will the proposed action create 24 a material conflict with the adopted land use plan

or zoning regulations? No. Please, everyone

1	answer.
2	MR. CORRIVEAU: No.
3	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Will the proposed
4	action result in the change or use or intensity of
5	use of land?
6	MR. CORRIVEAU: No.
7	MR. EVANS: No.
8	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: No.
9	Will the proposed action have a critical
10	impact on the environmental characteristics that
11	cause the establishment of a critical environmental
12	area (CSEA) (CEA)? I'm sorry. No.
13	MR. CORRIVEAU: No.
14	MR. EVANS: No.
15	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Will the proposed
16	action result in an adverse change in the existing
17	level of traffic or affect existing infrastructure
18	for mass transit, biking, or walkway?
19	MR. EVANS: No.
20	MR. CORRIVEAU: No.
21	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: No.
22	Will the proposed action cause an
23	increase in the use of energy and it fails to
24	incorporate reasonable available energy

conservation or renewable energy opportunities?

1	No.
2	MR. EVANS: No.
3	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Will the proposed
4	action impact existing, A, public/private water
5	supplies?
6	MR. EVANS: No.
7	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: No.
8	B, public/private wastewater treatment
9	facilities? No.
10	MR. EVANS: No.
11	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Will the proposed
12	action impair the character or quality of important
13	historical, archeological, architectural, or
14	aesthetic resources? No.
15	MR. CORRIVEAU: No.
16	MR. EVANS: No.
17	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Will the proposed
18	action result in an adverse change into natural
19	resources? Example, wetlands, waterbodies,
20	groundwater, air quality, flora or fauna?
21	MR. EVANS: No.
22	MR. CORRIVEAU: No.
23	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: No.
24	Will the proposed action result in an
25	increase in the potential for erosion, flooding, or

1	drainage problems? No.
2	MR. CORRIVEAU: No.
3	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Will the proposed
4	action create a hazard to environmental resources
5	or human health?
6	MR. CORRIVEAU: No.
7	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: No.
8	I need a resolution to adopt the findings
9	of the short short environmental assessment
10	form. I move that we adopt a resolution finding
11	the proposed variance will have no significant
12	adverse effects or environmental impacts.
13	MR. RUPPE: Second.
14	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: All in favor?
15	MR. EVANS: Aye.
16	MR. CORRIVEAU: Aye.
17	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Okay. Very good.
18	Now we'll make a motion to close the
19	public hearing, and then we'll
20	MR. EVANS: So moved.
21	MR. RUPPE: Second.
22	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: All in favor?
23	MR. CORRIVEAU: Aye.
24	MR. EVANS: Aye.
25	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: And then we'll start

the voting procedures.

1.3

2.5

Please keep in mind to answer your vote in accordance with the stipulations for a use variance request.

During the past decade, shopping habits have drastically changed with the advent of online shopping, leaving brick-and-mortar stores with unoccupied spaces.

A petition presented by Mr. Randall Soggs, property owner of Northland Plaza,

144 Eastern Boulevard, request that a large portion of this plaza, approximately -- it should say a third of the plaza, or 32,000 square feet, be converted into a self-storage facility. A special use permit was granted in 2013, but not acted upon because there was a potential for a tenant to go into that space.

Having self-storage facilities are prohibited in a planned -- accepted planned campus or industrial district. Currently, I guess it's 43 percent of the plaza's empty, and there are 13 available rental spaces. Mr. Soggs has diligently tried to market the spaces, but there have not -- there have been few takers. He's presented very detailed description of present

1.3

2.5

tenants and some perspective tenants who could utilize the store front: Some would be appropriate; others would not qualify.

Under the present conditions, the applicant cannot utilize a reasonable return on his investment with just over half of the spaces being rented. A substantial investment would have to be made into renovating the space, but a tenant could choose not to -- could choose to exit after renovations were completed or accomplished. This plaza enjoys available parking for tenants and customers.

When taking into account general maintenance, property taxes, insurance, lack of tenants, it's obvious that Mr. Soggs is not yielding a reasonable return. He purchased the property 20 years ago for \$3 million and, according to the information that he presented, he would need an 8 percent return on that investment, or \$240,000 a year.

Am I correct on that?

MR. SOGGS: I would love that, yeah. I think it's in a parentheses right now. That's the problem.

CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Given the present

1.3

2.5

circumstance of retail, I do not view this as a self-created hardship, nor will the variance have an essential or detrimental effect on the neighborhood. The hardship seems to apply only to this property, but there are other properties within the city that are, you know, in north, east, west, south -- maybe with the exception of the south side, that are experiencing the similar hardships.

I vote yes to the requested use variance with the stipulation that 32,000 square feet of space be converted into a self-storage facility, and that should be noted.

MR. URDA: Thirty-two, five.

MR. EVANS: Thirty-two, five.

CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Okay. That's fine.

And an additional conversion space would require

another application for a use variance.

I vote yes.

MR. URDA: Joseph had one other question. In the previous meeting, there was a question about whether that thirty-two, five would apply to the ground floor or to the footprint, and I believe the ZBA's intent, it's total square footage, that you wouldn't have a second floor of thirty-two, five

1.3

covered by this; in other words, we would give them 65.

So it's thirty-two, five on the ground floor on the storefront store, which Mr. Soggs has requested?

CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Okay. Very good.

MR. URDA: I'm asking. I'm not telling.

MR. EVANS: That's what -- that was my thought, too. If he added 32,500 square feet on the top, and he wanted to do something else with it, then he'd have to also get --

MR. URDA: You can stipulate the variance applies to ground floor square footage. So, in other words, thirty-two, five of ground floor space.

CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: I agree to that, 32,500 for the ground floor space.

Mr. Ruppe?

MR. RUPPE: Yeah. I agree that you've demonstrated that the zoning law has caused you unnecessary hardship. You've gone through a long list of available uses and how none of those have given you a reasonable return on investment. You shall not -- the main problem here is unique to the property, and I don't believe this will alter the

1 essential character of the neighborhood, given the 2 limitation of the square footage and half of that 3 building, at least, is still going to be retail 4 space, hopefully, going forward. And, no, you 5 can't control the economy, so that's not self-created. 6 7 So I would also vote yes with the same stipulations of the square footage. 8 9 CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Mr. Corriveau? 10 MR. CORRIVEAU: I vote yes. 11 CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Mr. Evans? 12 MR. EVANS: I vote yes with the 1.3 thirty-two, five for the self-storage facility, and 14 I look forward to seeing what you do with it. 15 CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Well, we have four 16 yes votes for your area variance, one absent, and, 17 therefore, your area variance request has been 18 granted. 19 MR. SOGGS: Thank you very much, 20 everybody. 21 CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: And you can speak to 22 Mr. Albinus about follow-up with permits. MR. SOGGS: We'll be in touch with 23

MR. ALBINUS: Yeah.

24

2.5

drawings and --

1 MR. SOGGS: But I've got a whole ways to go on that one. Thank you. Great first step, 2 3 though. I appreciate it. 4 MR. ALBINUS: Have a good night. 5 MR. EVANS: Are there any other regulatory permits he's got to have? 6 MR. URDA: He's going to need a building 7 permit for any interior modifications, like walls 8 9 and stuff, but --10 MR. EVANS: The planning board gets 11 involved in that or ... 12 MR. URDA: Nope, the planning board 1.3 doesn't get involved. This was -- I should use the 14 mic. 15 This was initiated by a denied zoning 16 compliance certificate. He now has the variance he 17 needs to take care of that. He won't need planning 18 commission approval. He will need a building 19 permit because, to build all those units in there, 20 he's going to need to put walls in there. He will 21 need a certificate of occupancy. You know, code 22 enforcement will also make sure it meets building 23 code, fire code, et cetera. So he does have

MR. EVANS: Yeah. I figured he --

24

25

additional --

1	MR. URDA: approvals.
2	MR. EVANS: Nothing else for one of the
3	boards.
4	MR. URDA: Correct. That's correct. It
5	can all be done at the staff level now.
6	MR. EVANS: Great.
7	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Are we ready to move
8	on to Case Number 509
9	MR. EVANS: 609.
10	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: 609. Okay. Fine.
11	609, for ALDI's, located at 1265 [sic] Arsenal
12	Street, and there's been a request a use
13	variance request for the they want to have some
14	electric vehicle
15	MR. McINTYRE: Yes.
16	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: outlets there.
17	So you may proceed, if you have any
18	information you would like to present.
19	MR. McINTYRE: Well, I wasn't able to get
20	anything from National Grid like you requested, so
21	I provided my own company's pricing for what it
22	would cost between the two locations, and I
23	presented it to Mr. Urda and
24	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: I saw, like, the
25	cost to move it to the back end

1	MR. McINTYRE: Yeah.
2	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: of the property
3	was, like, 9 well, close to \$20,000
4	MR. McINTYRE: Yeah.
5	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: versus \$6,000 if
6	you were to attach it to a power pole towards the
7	front.
8	MR. McINTYRE: Yeah. It's basically a
9	closer location from our equipment to their pole
10	versus the back lot, and we would have to go to
11	basically the neighbor's parking lot to
12	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: And it's for two
13	outlets; correct?
14	MR. EVANS: Two EV.
15	MR. McINTYRE: So two dispensers, four
16	outlets. So four parking spots would be covered,
17	but just two stand-up units would be
18	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Questions?
19	MR. RUPPE: What's the expected lifetime
20	and maintenance cost of the two options?
21	MR. McINTYRE: I we don't have any
22	kind of maintenance contract with the company that
23	is having us install them, so I wouldn't know where
24	to even answer that. They should last for, you
<u> </u>	

know, 10, 15 years, provided technology doesn't

1.3

advance anymore, and that changes constantly, so ...

If they're going to be doing any upgrades, it won't be anything but replacing one unit for another one, so -- but I don't see that happening anytime soon.

But as far as maintenance goes, again, that's -- it should be maintenance-free, really. Changing out a circuit board, if need be, sometime in the future if something goes bad or -- but that wouldn't cost the city anything. It wouldn't cost ALDI's anything. It would be just ...

CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Now, you were able to move it out of that intersection. That's the most important --

MR. McINTYRE: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: -- piece.

MR. McINTYRE: So I slid the two power cabinets to the second parking spot and slid the dispensers a couple spots down.

MR. URDA: So just to be clear -- I'll jump in quickly for a second -- this is 40 feet measured from either direction strictly applied. This is the second parking spot. This very top northwest corner of this space is still in the

1.3

triangle, so I think, you know, these -- I think putting them in that space is fine. I think you'll probably just have to shift them about 5 feet south from where they are in this picture, and I think they would still fit fine in that space.

I would recommend that the planning -- or that ZBA, when granting the variance, grant it with the condition that anything be outside the sight triangle, as required by 310.92. But I think this alignment would work.

MR. McINTYRE: And the equipment isn't as wide as the spots.

MR. URDA: Yeah.

MR. McINTYRE: It's just the depiction, so we might only be --

MR. URDA: ALDI's isn't planning to still use this last space as a spot, is it, or are they?

MR. McINTYRE: Probably not.

MR. URDA: Okay.

MR. McINTYRE: We'll probably have to put some kind of bollards on that side.

MR. URDA: Yeah. So, in essence, they could, you know, go as far south as they needed to. Like I said, this is the key piece. So as long as they were basically here and here, it's fine

	I I
1	(indicating).
2	MR. McINTYRE: Okay.
3	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: So what you're
4	saying, Mr. Urda, they need to be out of that 40
5	MR. URDA: Right. They need to be out of
6	this shaded area, and Staff would just recommend,
7	if the ZBA chose to grant the use variance, that it
8	be written into the decision that it be outside
9	this shaded area.
10	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Okay. So even that
11	little piece
12	MR. URDA: It's
13	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: to the
14	northwest
15	MR. URDA: It is 100 percent matter of
16	safety as far as
17	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Right.
18	MR. URDA: any obstruction in the
19	sight triangle is a great way to get a car T-boned.
20	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Okay. So it needs
21	to come out of that.
22	MR. URDA: Yeah. And, like I said, it's
23	not a heavy lift from from this drawing where
24	Staff has drawn the triangle to what the applicant
25	has, I mean, it's a lesser it's less feet than

1	my wingspan.
2	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Mm-hmm.
3	MR. McINTYRE: Could you scroll back down
4	to the triangle?
5	MR. URDA: Yep.
6	MR. McINTYRE: So we might be able to
7	put, like, one here and one here (indicating).
8	MR. URDA: Yeah. That would be fine.
9	MR. McINTYRE: And
10	MR. URDA: You can orient it however you
11	want it, however ALDI's needs it. It's just a
12	matter of being out of the
13	MR. McINTYRE: As long as I can get a
14	copy of that
15	MR. URDA: Yeah, yeah. I can email it to
16	you tomorrow morning.
17	MR. McINTYRE: That way both spots are
18	kind of taken, and we'll just have to put bollards
19	around the equipment.
20	MR. EVANS: I know you've done these
21	other places. I can't remember the other place
22	that you had shown us.
23	MR. McINTYRE: Down in Pulaski.
24	MR. EVANS: Pulaski. Okay. So you have
25	taken winter into effect with that and because

1	Arsenal Street gets very the state comes along
2	with their big plows and really plows down Arsenal
3	Street to
4	MR. McINTYRE: Yeah.
5	MR. EVANS: I worked in a business
6	further down Arsenal Street, and we had trouble
7	clearing our sidewalk, those on Arsenal Street,
8	because the state would just plow the
9	MR. McINTYRE: Yeah.
10	MR. EVANS: the stuff right in.
11	So that won't affect the charging
12	stations, then?
13	MR. McINTYRE: It shouldn't, no.
14	They're they're enclosed. They're weatherproof,
15	so provided they don't get knocked over by the
16	impact of it, but that's that shouldn't be a
17	a problem.
18	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Is there a
19	sidewalk there's a margin, and then isn't there
19 20	
	sidewalk there's a margin, and then isn't there
20	sidewalk there's a margin, and then isn't there a sidewalk on Arsenal Street?
20 21	sidewalk there's a margin, and then isn't there a sidewalk on Arsenal Street? MR. EVANS: Yeah. There's both.
202122	sidewalk there's a margin, and then isn't there a sidewalk on Arsenal Street? MR. EVANS: Yeah. There's both. CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Oh.

then it -- it's easier to see in the sight triangle, almost, I think. But there's the sidewalk there.

CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: I drive by there, like everybody else, three or four times a week and ...

MR. EVANS: Well, I've discovered that if you're coming out of the Price Chopper plaza, you can actually come up behind the plaza that Price Chopper -- the end of Price Chopper and end up on Haney Street.

CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Oh, yeah.

MR. EVANS: And so I did that the other day, just to see what I was looking at for this.

I understand why you have the sight triangle, by the way, much better now that I actually sat there and tried to get onto Arsenal Street. You don't want to see the other problems coming, so ...

CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Okay. Other questions by colleagues?

MR. EVANS: I mean, I understand that ALDI's wants to do this. I guess I'm still -- I'm still on the -- the self-created hardship. I mean, it sort of is self-created because they're --

1 they're the ones that are trying to do this, 2 but ... 3 CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: And it's really not 4 required. 5 MR. EVANS: It's not required for them because they're an existing business. 6 MR. URDA: That's correct. 7 MR. EVANS: Yes. 8 9 MR. URDA: If that were a vacant parcel 10 today and they were developing the store from 11 scratch, the zoning would require them to install 12 EV chargers. But they have the option not to 1.3 because they are grandfathered, having been built 14 before the adoption of this code. 15 MR. EVANS: I think that's an asinine 16 thing in the code, too, by the way, but that's just 17 me. What do I know? So ... 18 MR. RUPPE: So my big concern with this 19 is the -- for an area variance, you have to show a 20 lack of reasonable return for all permitted uses, 21 and one of those permitted uses is the status quo. 22 So is ALDI in any risk of having to close that 23 store? Are they losing money? 24 MR. McINTYRE: No. It's more of a way to

get people in, I guess, customers. People that do

1.3

already shop there that have EVs, they just have the luxury of plugging in while they're shopping. It's more of that -- along the lines of that.

CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Yeah. To be truthful, when you compare, like, 19,000 or 20,000 versus 6,000, and then you're running a large grocery store -- and then ALDI's is busy constantly, I mean, from the time it opens, I think, until the time it closes -- I mean, I think of not yielding a reasonable return, that, without this, it would be difficult for the business to continue to exist.

MR. RUPPE: Yeah. The city assesses that parcel at \$1.8 million, and a \$13,000 difference over ten years of equipment seems insignificant.

CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Other questions?

MR. CORRIVEAU: On that subject there, you know, we don't really know what ALDI's has in this project. ALDI's is not the applicant. The builder is.

CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Yeah.

MR. CORRIVEAU: I'm pretty confident that there's a lot of public money in putting in these chargers, whether it's a rebate from National Grid, something by the State of New York Public Service

1	Commission, or something from the state themselves
2	to push the energy or whatever it may be. I would
3	be surprised if ALDI has a lot of skin in the game
4	when it comes to this project, but
5	Because when you look at the investment
6	we're talking about here, if they were to finance
7	the entire thing
8	(Court reporter interrupts for
9	clarification.)
10	MR. CORRIVEAU: If they were to finance
11	this whole project, I don't think ALDI's would make
12	any money on it at all.
13	MR. EVANS: Yeah. I don't know how much
14	you charge for a charge.
15	MR. CORRIVEAU: Well, there's one I saw
16	out there months ago and it was 35 cents a
17	kilowatt-hour. That's simply the price of
18	electricity, what I pay at home.
19	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: The rates are only
20	going up.
21	MR. CORRIVEAU: Mm-hmm.
22	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Mr. Urda, is there
23	city's are there other questions, I should first

MR. EVANS: I don't think I have any

say, from board members?

24

1	other questions.
2	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: City's position?
3	MR. URDA: The city takes no position.
4	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: And did you receive
5	any concerns from
6	MR. URDA: No comments from any neighbors
7	or land owners.
8	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: All right. Well,
9	we'll start as we did before with the short
10	environmental assessment form, and just please
11	answer yay or nay to that.
12	We'll begin with Number 1. Will the
13	proposed action create a material conflict with an
14	updated [sic] land use plan or zoning regulations?
15	No.
16	Will the proposed action result in a
17	change to the use or intensity of the land? No.
18	MR. CORRIVEAU: No.
19	MR. EVANS: Yes.
20	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Yes?
21	MR. EVANS: Read it again.
22	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Will the proposed
23	action result in a change in the use or intensity
24	or
25	MR. EVANS: Okay. No. Yeah.

1	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: All right.
2	MR. EVANS: I misunderstood.
3	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Will the proposed
4	stop me if it doesn't seem clear, please.
5	Will the proposed action impair the
6	character or quality of the existing community?
7	MR. EVANS: No.
8	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: No.
9	Will the proposed action have an impact
10	on the environmental characteristics that cause the
11	establishment of a critical environmental area
12	(CSEA) CEA? I keep saying that.
13	MR. CORRIVEAU: No.
14	MR. EVANS: What's CBA?
15	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Critical
16	environmental area.
17	MR. EVANS: Oh, okay. Got it.
18	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Will the proposed
19	action result in an adverse change in existing
20	level of traffic or affect the existing
21	infrastructure for mass transit, biking, or,
22	walkway?
23	MR. EVANS: No.
24	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: As long as it comes
25	out of that area.

1	MR. EVANS: As long as it comes out of
2	the triangle, yes.
3	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Will the proposed
4	action increase the use of energy and it fails to
5	incorporate reasonably available energy
6	conservations or renewable energy opportunities?
7	MR. EVANS: It will increase the energy,
8	but I don't know enough about it, whether it would
9	so I'll say no.
10	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Will the proposed
11	action impact existing public/private water
12	supplies? No.
13	Will the proposed action impact existing
14	public/private wastewater treatment?
15	MR. EVANS: No.
16	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: No. In utilities.
17	No.
18	Will the proposed action impair the
19	character or quality of the important historic
20	archaeological, architectural, aesthetics
21	resources? No.
22	MR. EVANS: No.
23	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Will the proposed
24	action result in an adverse change to the natural
25	resources? Examples, wetlands, waterbodies,

1	groundwater, air quality, flora and fauna?
2	MR. EVANS: No.
3	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: No.
4	MR. CORRIVEAU: No.
5	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Will the proposed
6	action result in an increase in the potential for
7	erosion, flooding, or drainage problems?
8	MR. EVANS: No.
9	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: No, I don't believe
10	so.
11	And will the proposed action create a
12	hazard to environmental resources or human health?
13	No.
14	MR. EVANS: No.
15	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: So I'll just read it
16	again, the statement regarding I move that we adopt
17	a resolution finding that the proposed variance
18	will have no significant adverse effects or
19	environmental impacts.
20	May I have a
21	MR. CORRIVEAU: I'll second that.
22	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Okay. All in favor?
23	MR. CORRIVEAU: Aye.
24	CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Okay. Very good.
25	MR. EVANS: Aye.

1.3

CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: This is a tough one.

I have been wrestling with this. I don't want to start the voting.

Who would like to volunteer to go first?
MR. CORRIVEAU: I'll go first.

CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Okay.

MR. CORRIVEAU: But I just have to say, it's a tough one in the sense that the zoning of what goes on in a front yard, think of residential streets in the front yard as an accessory structures and what have you, and, here, you've got a commercial district with all kinds of stuff going on.

MR. URDA: If it helps the ZBA, I can clarify a couple pieces of code if you're struggling with their meanings.

So the code will read as it was written in my memo from a couple of months ago, the section governing accessory structures, basically says they can't be in the front. They have to be in the side or the rear, and this is in the front.

The -- if you go to this, these are -the cabinets clearly are structures. You know,
they require building permits. The chargers
themselves also require building permits, but I am

1.3

second.

not the one that would answer why a charger requires a building permit. That is -- codes has determined they meet the definition of a structure, which I could read, if you need it. These do clearly meet it.

MR. EVANS: Can you read that?

MR. URDA: I sure can. Give me just a

So the definition of a structure is any object constructed, installed, or placed on the land or connected to a shoreline, such as an antenna, building, sign, tank, fence, pole, pool, wind turbine, and any fixtures, additions, and alterations thereto. Construction must be more than 6 inches above grade or in excess of 100 square feet in gross floor area or over 100 feet in height to be considered a structure. Landscaping features, driveways, and parking areas shall not constitute structures.

So the construction here is the one that's 6 inches above grade. The two cabinets are clearly structures. It's up to interpretation if the EV chargers are structures, but they do require the building permits.

So the fact that they are clearly an

1.3

2.5

accessory to the primary grocery store use of the property makes them an accessory and, thus, prohibited by the front-yard accessory structure prohibition.

But bear in mind as you make your decision, if it, you know, enters your thinking, that's the definition of a structure.

Accessory structure is also defined: An accessory structure is a structure that is associated with and incidental to the principal building on the same lot. Accessory structures are subordinate in area, extent, or purpose to the principal building.

MR. CORRIVEAU: And charging stations are becoming a fact of life in a lot of retail parking lots, whether it's a hotel or a store like this or a mall or wherever. So we're going to see more of this sort of thing.

And, as you say, if they were building ALDI's tomorrow, they would have to put them in, so ...

MR. URDA: Just to be clear, if ALDI's were to build -- if, say, the land was vacant and they were building the store tomorrow, they would be required to put them in. But if they wanted to

fulfill that requirement by putting them here, they would still be in front of this board tonight.

MR. CORRIVEAU: Exactly.

CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Okay. So your vote?
MR. CORRIVEAU: I vote yes.

CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Okay. Mr. Ruppe?

MR. RUPPE: I think the applicant has failed to demonstrate after, each and every permitted use under the zoning regulation, that they cannot realize a reasonable return because the existing status quo is a permitted use and we have not seen any change there. Therefore, I feel obligated to say no.

CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: I'll go next.

I did not feel the cost was significant between when you're looking at ALDI's -- and I know you're not representing the grocery store, and it was not 19 -- \$19,800 versus \$6,000 -- \$6,000 would be for the front of the store. I don't feel that the financial piece was satisfactorily met.

I also feel this is a self-created hardship. I do not feel it would have an impact on the neighborhood, and so for -- therefore, I am going to vote no.

MR. EVANS: I guess I'm last.

1.3

1.3

I'm going to go with Adam and Sam on the same reasoning. It's -- I don't -- I think it's not self-created, and I'm not entirely sure why it has to be in the front like that, as opposed to the side. I realize the cost difference, but the rest of it.

As Jim says, we're seeing more and more chargers. I guess that will be the way of the future with a lot of things, but they aren't usually right on the street like that.

So, yeah, I'm going to vote no, so ...

CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: So we have three no votes, one yes vote, one absentee; therefore, the use variance has not been granted for 1265 Arsenal Street, ALDI's.

MR. EVANS: Geoff, just a quick question.

If they decide to go through the back lot, they

don't even need a use variance then, do they?

MR. URDA: That's correct. If -- if
ALDI's chose -- and I understand that it would cost
them about \$13,000 more, according to -- you know,
to what the applicant submitted. But if they were
to put them back here, they would get a zoning
compliance certificate and a building permit, and
they could build them.

1 And to be -- wow. That's big. To be clear --2 3 I wish this wasn't this big. And I wish 4 it wasn't blocking, but ... 5 -- it can really be anywhere behind this line. This line extrapolates to the edge there, so 6 the front of the building facade is where the front 7 yard is defined from. So they would be able to put 8 9 an EV charger anywhere back here by right tomorrow. 10 But it obviously would cost them more money, as 11 Mr. McIntyre has laid out. 12 MR. McINTYRE: It's just getting power to 13 That's the reason why it's got it going to -it. 14 MR. CORRIVEAU: In regards to the power, 15 one thing I asked about last month but didn't bring 16 up yet tonight, is that power line that's on the 17 east side of the property, which you're not 18 using --19 Go back to the overhead view. 20 MR. EVANS: Not that one, but the 21 other -- the one between ALDI's and the plaza. 22 MR. CORRIVEAU: Yeah. 23 MR. URDA: Here? 24 MR. CORRIVEAU: Yes. You can see the 25 pole right behind the bank. I think it's a bank --

1	MR. EVANS: Yes.
2	MR. CORRIVEAU: that's over there.
3	You see a three-phase bank up on the pole. Push it
4	down the hill the other way.
5	MR. EVANS: Towards towards
6	MR. CORRIVEAU: Yeah. Right there.
7	There's a power line, a three-phase line that comes
8	off Arsenal Street. There's the three-phase bank.
9	There's the three little, gray dots right off the
10	corner of that building that kind of hang over the
11	parking lot.
12	MR. EVANS: Yeah.
13	MR. CORRIVEAU: You see where it is?
14	MR. URDA: Oh, wow. That's not what I
15	meant to do. I meant to if you want to pass
16	this around to Jim.
17	MR. CORRIVEAU: And I'm not trying to
18	take it out on the building. I know that National
19	Grid can be difficult to work with sometimes,
20	but
21	MR. URDA: You can X out of the
22	measurement, if you want, and that'll bring you
23	back to the
24	MR. CORRIVEAU: Right here is their last

pole on a three-phase line that's tapped off the

same main line on Arsenal Street. It terminates 1 2 right there, an overhead bank with three 3 transformers that feeds this facility. And they 4 could stick your power transformer anywhere on the 5 ALDI property over here and then feed off of theirs, as opposed to coming all the way across 6 from the western side of the property. 7 You follow me? 8 9 MR. McINTYRE: Yeah. It's just --10 MR. CORRIVEAU: If they're willing to. 11 MR. McINTYRE: They're not. That's the 12 problem. 13 MR. CORRIVEAU: National Grid sucks. 14 I've got it. 15 MR. McINTYRE: We've already tried that, 16 and this is the design they wanted to go with 17 and ... 18 MR. CORRIVEAU: That's that. 19 MR. McINTYRE: I know. 20 MR. CORRIVEAU: That's too bad. 21 MR. EVANS: "They," being ALDI's, or 22 "they," being National Grid? 23 MR. McINTYRE: National Grid. 24 MR. EVANS: Okay.

MR. McINTYRE: Because they're paying for

1	that portion of the project, and if it's going to
2	cost them over X amount of dollars, it's just
3	they're not interested.
4	I'll obviously take the rejection to them
5	and see what they can do, but
6	MR. CORRIVEAU: I don't know how far
7	ALDI's property line goes over to that side. Is it
8	the white line?
9	MR. URDA: This is the property line
10	right here (indicating).
11	MR. CORRIVEAU: So they could put that
12	transformer on ALDI's property 10 feet away from
13	the pole. How could it cost them more?
14	MR. McINTYRE: Well, it's got to get from
15	this side
16	MR. CORRIVEAU: From there
17	MR. McINTYRE: to the parking lot
18	MR. CORRIVEAU: the secondary side
19	MR. McINTYRE: Yeah.
20	MR. CORRIVEAU: going out to the
21	charger is the longer one, for sure.
22	MR. McINTYRE: It's either we're going to
23	pull the whole parking lot up or we've got a
24	directional boring to get to it or
25	MR. CORRIVEAU: It wouldn't be for the

1 350 feet that was described coming from the western side. 2 3 MR. McINTYRE: Well, I was coming from 4 the back parking lot. Instead of drilling or 5 excavating the parking lot, I just went along the grass lines to the back of the parking lot. 6 So it's 175 from the pole to the back. 7 We would have to do two runs. So that's the 8 9 measurement that I went with. To dig up the 10 parking lot, that's going to cost even more. 11 CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: To do an underground 12 type? 1.3 MR. McINTYRE: Directional boring to 14 underground, but, again, that's astronomical 15 pricing, so ... 16 MR. RUPPE: I'm curious, if the permit 17 were attached to the existing building, would that 18 constitute a new structure or a modification to the 19 existing one? 20 MR. URDA: I mean, if the plugs were 21 literally right on the wall of ALDI's, it would be, 22 at that point, part of the primary structure. 23 MR. RUPPE: That's something they might 24 consider.

MR. McINTYRE: There's just no parking

1 spots on that side. 2 MR. URDA: Yeah, there's no parking 3 spaces there. That's drive aisle. They would have 4 to reconfigure, in essence, this to, I think, 5 probably have the drive aisle be here and then the spaces here (indicating). They would have to grind 6 all that paint and then re-stripe it on this side, 7 8 but you would be able to install chargers into the 9 wall with it, you know, being part of the primary 10 structure. 11 CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: Thank you. 12 Other comments concerning before we close 13 the meeting? 14 May I have a motion to close the zoning 15 board of appeals meeting? 16 MR. CORRIVEAU: So moved. 17 CHAIRPERSON THOMAS: All in favor? MR. EVANS: Second -- or yeah. 18 19 MR. RUPPE: Yeah. 20 MR. EVANS: Yeah. Good. 21 (Proceedings concluded.) 22 23

24

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, TIFFANY-JO K. PONCE, RPR, Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of New York, do hereby certify:

That the sworn testimony and/or proceedings, a transcript of which is attached, was given before me at the time and place stated therein; that the witness was duly sworn or affirmed to testify to the truth; that the testimony and/or proceedings were stenographically recorded by me and transcribed under my supervision.

That the foregoing transcript contains a full, true, and accurate record of all the testimony and/or proceedings held on August 20, 2025.

That I am in no way related to any party to the matter, nor to any counsel, nor do I have any financial interest in the event of the cause.

WITNESS MY HAND this 28 day of August, 2025

TIFFANY JO K. PONCE, RPR Court Reporter